

2014 History

National 5

Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2014

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a noncommercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Assessment team.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.



General Marking Principles for National 5 History

This information is provided to help you understand the general principles you must apply when marking candidate responses to questions in this Paper. These principles must be read in conjunction with the detailed marking instructions, which identify the key features required in candidate responses.

- (a) Marks for each candidate response must always be assigned in line with these General Marking Principles and the Detailed Marking Instructions for this assessment.
- (b) Marking should always be positive. This means that, for each candidate response, marks are accumulated for the demonstration of relevant skills, knowledge and understanding.
- (c) i. For credit to be given, points must relate to the question asked. Where candidates give points of knowledge without specifying the context, these should be rewarded unless it is clear that they do not refer to the context of the question.

eg Some soldiers on the western front suffered from trench foot as they were unable to keep their feet dry. (1 mark for knowledge, even though this does not specify that it relates to the Scottish soldiers)

- ii. There are six types of question used in this question paper. Each assesses a particular skill, namely:
 - A. Describe . . . (KU1)
 - B. Explain the reasons why . . . (KU2)
 - C. To what extent . . . (KU3)
 - D. Evaluate the usefulness of Source . . . (SH1)
 - E. Compare the views of Sources . . . (SH2)
 - F. How fully does Source . . . (SH3)
- iii. For each of the question types (in ii above), the following provides an overview of marking principles and an example of their application for each question type.

A Questions that ask candidates to *Describe* . . . (5 or 6 marks)

Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these.

Up to the total mark allocation for this question of 5 or 6 marks:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point
- **a second mark** should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

Question: Describe the Liberal Welfare Reforms introduced between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals brought in a number of welfare reforms that were aimed at helping the poorest people in society (1 mark for knowledge). They were particularly trying to help children and the elderly (a second mark for developing a point of knowledge).

B Questions that ask candidates to Explain the reasons why . . . (5 or 6 marks)

Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of

straightforward reasons or a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these.

Up to the total mark allocation for this question of 5 or 6 marks:

- 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant reason
- **a second mark** should be given for any reason that is developed, as in the following example

Question: Explain the reasons why the Liberals introduced their social welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914.

The Liberals introduced a variety of reforms in order to help the poorest in society as it had been shown that this group was suffering particular hardships. (1 mark for a reason) Booth had identified that over 35% of Londoners were living in poverty. (a second mark for developing a reason)

C Questions that ask *To what extent* . . . (8 marks)

Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced assessment of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented.

Up to **5 marks** should be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors: with **1 mark** given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of **3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge**.

Up to **3 further marks** should be given for presenting the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion, as follows:

- **1 mark** for the answer being presented in a structured way, with knowledge being organised in support of different factors
- **1 mark** for a valid judgement or overall conclusion
- **1 mark** for a reason being provided in support of the conclusion

Question: To what extent was genuine concern for the poor the main reason why the Liberals introduced their welfare reforms between 1906 and 1914?

Example:

Some historians think the Liberals passed their welfare reforms to help the poorest people. The National Insurance Acts helped keep workers out of poverty. (1 mark for knowledge) The introduction of old age pensions meant that families didn't have the burden of supporting the

elderly. (1 mark for knowledge)

However, other historians think they were more concerned with fighting off the Labour Party.

(1 mark for structure/reference to different factors) Cutting the working day for miners was simply a way of buying their support. (1 mark for knowledge) The National Insurance Acts were targeted at working men who might be likely to support the Labour Party if they felt the Liberals didn't listen. (1 mark for knowledge)

Others believe it was about national efficiency. Churchill and Lloyd George argued that Britain needed its people to be fitter to be able to compete. (1 mark for knowledge) They were shocked by the state of the men who volunteered during the Boer War and wanted to solve this problem. (1 mark for knowledge)

Overall, the Liberals were more concerned about helping the poor. (1 mark for a

conclusion) Most of their reforms were directed at helping the poor and it is clear from their speeches that this was what motivated them most. (1 mark for supporting a conclusion)

D Questions that ask candidates to *Evaluate the usefulness of a given source as evidence of* . . . (5 or 6 marks)

Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission.

Up to the total mark allocation for this question of 5 or 6 marks:

- a maximum of **4 marks** can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing
- a maximum of **2 marks** may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source
- a maximum of **2 marks** may be given for evaluative comments relating to points of significant omission

Example:

Source A is useful as it was written in 1910 which was at the time when the Liberals were introducing their main reforms. (1 mark, for timing) It was written by the Prime Minister so it may be less useful as he has a personal interest in making the reforms sound successful. (1 mark, for purpose) It says "these reforms will make the lives of the poor infinitely better" which shows evidence of bias and makes it less useful. (1 mark, for content) On the other hand it also says that the National Insurance Act would benefit working men by giving them unemployment benefits which is accurate so makes the source more useful. (1 mark, also for content) But it is less useful as it fails to mention that women would not really be covered by this Act. (1 mark, for omission of content)

E Questions that ask candidates to *Compare the views of two given sources about* . . . (4 marks)

Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis.

Up to the total mark allocation for this question of 4 marks:

• A simple comparison will indicate what points they agree or disagree about and should be given **1 mark**. A developed comparison will also be supported by specific references to each source and should be given **2 marks** in total.

Example:

Sources A and B agree that King Charles I was an ineffective ruler. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

Source A agrees with Source B where it says "the king failed to maintain control of parliament" and Source B says "King Charles provoked his own people to rebel". (a second mark for a developing a simple comparison)

The sources disagree about how far Charles I created his own problems. (1 mark for a simple comparison)

The sources disagree about how much Charles I's problems were his own making, where Source A thinks Charles was a disaster as king, while Source B feels he was generally not bad but made some important mistakes. (2 marks in total for one developed comparison) **F** Questions that ask *How fully does a given source explain/describe* . . .(5 or 6 marks) Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development.

Up to the total mark allocation for this question of 5 or 6 marks:

- candidates should be given **up to 3 marks** for their identification of points from the source that support their judgement; each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source
- candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement
- a maximum of **2 marks** may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made

Example:

Source B explains the reasons why the Liberals introduced their reforms fairly well (evidence of judgement) as it mentions their growing fear of the Labour party. (1 mark, point from source) It mentions specifically the Welsh MPs such as Lloyd George who might lose their seats. (1 mark point from source) It also deals with the raising of working class expectations which the new Labour Party might bring. (1 mark, point from source) However, it fails to mention (evidence of judgement) their genuine concern for the masses which motivated many MPs. (1 mark, significant omission) The poor state of health among volunteers for the Boer War had shocked many of them into demanding changes. (1 mark, significant omission) Others, such as Churchill, felt that poverty was holding back Britain's competitiveness as a nation and must be changed. (1 mark, significant omission)

Marking codes to be used for Question Paper

 \checkmark - indicates a relevant piece of evidence/knowledge has been credited (MI number should also be used)

DP - indicates a developed point has been credited

R - indicates that recalled knowledge has been credited (useful in SH3)

S - indicates that evidence from the source has been correctly selected/credited (useful in SH3)

X - indicates irrelevance (this section of the answer should be underlined as well)

SE - indicates a serious error (this section of the answer should be underlined as well)

NR - indicates no relevant recalled knowledge has been presented (particularly useful in SH3)

P - indicates that the required process is apparent (useful in KU2 and KU3)

WP - indicates that the required process is suspect or weak (useful in KU2 and SH1)

NP - indicates that the required process is non-existent (useful in KU2, KU3 and SH1)

B - indicates that balance has been provided/different factors have been presented (useful in KU3)

NB - indicates that no balance has been provided/only one factor has been presented (useful in KU3)

AUT - indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the authorship of the source (useful in SH1)

TYP - indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the type of source (useful in SH1)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PUR}}$ - indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the purpose of the source (useful in SH1)

 TIM - indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the origin/timing of the source (useful in SH1)

CON - indicates an evaluative comment has been made on the content of the source (useful in SH1)

SOM - indicates a point of significant omission has been made relating to the source (useful in SH1)

SC - indicates a simple comparison has been made (useful in SH2)

DC - indicates a developed comparison has been made (useful in SH2)

OC - indicates an overall comparison has been made (useful in SH2)

NC - indicates that an attempted comparison is not valid (useful in SH2)

J - indicates that the required judgement has been made (useful in KU3 and SH3)

J+ - indicates that a valid reason has been provided in support of the required judgement (useful in the conclusion for KU3)

WJ - indicates that the judgement is suspect or weak (useful in KU3 and SH3)

NJ - indicates that the required judgement has not been made (useful in KU3 and SH3)

Marking Instructions for each question

| Section 1 | , Context A, | The Wars of Independence, | 1286-1328 |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|
|-----------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| | type of question | | |
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Alexander III had died without a surviving male heir/Scots had agreed the infant Margaret was his heir, Edward approached for help Edward had been Alexander's brother-in-law and a friend Edward was a strong king who could use his authority to subdue Scottish troublemakers/there was a threat of civil war Edward was Margaret's great uncle so could claim an interest as a relative The Scots agreed to the Treaty of Birgham and the marriage of Margaret to Edward's infant son Margaret died before she reached Scotland so there was now no direct heir - a further opportunity for Edward's involvement Bishop Fraser invited Edward to help choose from the claimants to the throne Edward insisted the claimants/competitors accepted him as their overlord before he would begin to judge the claims |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | 9. Edward insisted the Scottish castles be handed over to him, to hold for the eventual king 10. All the competitors accepted that Edward was overlord 11. Edward judged that John Balliol had the best claim 12. Balliol paid homage to Edward for Scotland after his coronation, making Edward's superiority clear 13. Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| | type of question | | |
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge • a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Wallace and Murray joined forces on the north side of the River Forth 2. The Scots were on the high ground of Abbey Craig/the English assembled on the south side of the river 3. The English delayed the start of the battle/Surrey slept in 4. The English could not decide whether to use the narrow bridge or travel further upstream and cross at the broader ford 5. Cressingham did not want any further expenses and wanted to get the battle over as quickly as possible in case the Scots escaped so opted for the bridge 6. The English partly crossed, turned back and then began again, making their plans clear to the Scots 7. The English crossed the bridge slowly/only three abreast 8. The Scots attacked before all the English were over 9. The Scots attacked the end of the bridge trapping the English who had crossed and preventing the rest from crossing to help them 10. English soldiers who attempted to escape across the river were drowned/weighed down by waterlogged tunics 11. Bridge collapsed and English fled |

| Qu | estior | ו | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----|--------|---|--|----------|--|
| 2. | | | (Continued) | | 12. The English were defeated/Cressingham was killed 13. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruction | ons for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|---|
| 3. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | 5 | marks. Candidates must make a ju support this by making eva source. 1 mark should be given for of 5 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks the author, type of sout A maximum of 2 marks content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks significant omission. | d in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 udgement about the usefulness of the source and aluative comments on identified aspects of the r each relevant comment made, up to a maximum s can be given for evaluative comments relating to urce, purpose and timing. s may be given for comments relating to the s may be given for comments relating to points of the source and relevant comments: Possible comment Churchman in Guisborough Priory in Yorkshire, so not an eyewitness, so perhaps less useful Contains details of events, generally thought to be reliable so more useful Keep a record of events as a history, so may be more useful, but an English version so may be biased against Bruce Written during the Wars of Independence at the time of Bruce taking the throne, so more useful. |

| Qu | estio | n | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|----|-------|---|--|----------|---|--|
| 3. | | | | | Content He lured him to a meeting in Greyfriars Church Bruce accused Comyn of telling lies about him He struck him with his sword | Possible comment Suggests Bruce planned a deception so shows bias so less useful |
| | | | | | | useful 's men r |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | |
|----------|---|----------|--|--|
| 4. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Bruce's parliament agreed that Scots nobles who had not made peace with him would lose their lands in Scotland 2. Bruce gave this forfeited land to his own supporters 3. Scots nobles would no longer be allowed to have English estates so their loyalties would not be divided 4. Continued to raid the north of England to put pressure on Edward | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 4. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Defeated English army at Bannockburn 2. Secured release of his wife and daughter/Wishart, in exchange for ransomed English prisoners 3. Recaptured Berwick from English occupation 4. Added to Scottish exchequer by accepting protection money from northern English towns 5. Encouraged production of Declaration of Arbroath 6. Renewed Alliance with France 7. Agreed Treaty of Edinburgh with England in 1328 8. Made a marriage treaty for his son/heir 9. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: English wanted Mary to marry Edward, son of Henry VIII, Treaty of Greenwich Scots cancelled their agreement for Mary to marry Edward, this angered Henry Henry VIII sent armies to destroy Scottish cities/punish the Scots - known as the 'Rough Wooing' English armies burned Edinburgh/Borders Abbeys, St Andrews etc English defeated the Scots at the Battle of Pinkie, 1547 Scots needed French help/French agreed if Mary married the French Dauphin (Treaty of Haddington) Mary left for France from Dumbarton in August 1548 Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left). |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. The Protestant form of worship meant that people could participate much more in services. 2. The Bible was available in English, not Latin; therefore people who could not read Latin could still understand it 3. Some people began to criticise the Catholic Church because of its great wealth 4. Local priests were resented for charging people for christening their children. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Some Scots began to resent the wealth of the Catholic Church eg excessive spending on decoration 2. Some priests & nuns attacked for setting bad example eg spent wealth on themselves not the poor/broke vow of chastity 3. Resentment at the way Protestant preachers had been treated led to more sympathy for Protestants eg Wishart burned as a heretic 4. Resentment at Catholic foreign influence at court (French) 5. Creation of the Lords of the Congregation/many favoured Protestantism 6. Scottish Parliament that met in 1560 was controlled by men who had sympathised with the Reformation 7. Scottish Parliament banned the celebration of mass in 1560/agreed to end the power of the Pope over the Church in Scotland 8. Lack of priests or poor quality of priests caused resentment 9. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruct | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|---|
| 3. | | | marks. Candidates must make a j support this by making evasource. 1 mark should be given for of 5 marks in total. A maximum of 4 mark the author, type of souther and the source. A maximum of 2 mark content of the source. A maximum of 2 mark significant omission. | ed in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 udgement about the usefulness of the source and aluative comments on identified aspects of the or each relevant comment made, up to a maximum as can be given for evaluative comments relating to urce, purpose and timing. Is may be given for comments relating to the as may be given for comments relating to points of the source and relevant comments: |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | | | Author: John Knox | Useful as he was an influential Protestant reformer/hated Mary as a Catholic ruler |
| | | | Type of Source: Sermon | Useful as heard by Protestant followers/ public expression of Knox's views |
| | | | Purpose: To persuade | Less useful as it is biased/enthusiastically condemns Mary and her Catholic religion/to persuade people to turn against Mary |
| | | | Timing: 1560s | Useful as it was delivered when the Protestant faith was growing in Scotland |
| | | | | |

| Que | stion | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|-----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 3. | | (Continued) | | | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | | In the north of the country, where Mary had travelled before harvest time, the famine was hardest with great suffering. Many people died | Less useful as Knox blames Mary for causing famine - extreme and much exaggerated view/but perhaps useful as many Protestants also held these views |
| | | | | Thus did God punish the many sins of our wicked Queen and her followers | Less useful as he claims God is punishing Scotland for having a Catholic Queen - extreme and much exaggerated view/but perhaps useful as many Protestants also held these views |
| | | | The excessive celebrations and huge feasts in the palace and in the country provoked God into this action | Less useful as he claims God punished Scotland because of Mary's behaviour - extreme and much exaggerated view/but perhaps useful as many Protestants also held these views | |
| | | | | ruler', eg dancing criticised Knox would often lecture Mary on reduced to tears by him on one of Some Protestants were happy with ensured the Protestant Church ha Any other valid point that meets | r whole way of life, she was an 'ungodly religion, condemning Catholicism (Mary ccasion) h Mary eg she tolerated their religion/ |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: 1. Nobles persuaded Darnley that Riccio was too friendly with Mary/implied they were having an affair 2. Riccio was humiliating the Scottish nobles by making them ask him to see Mary 3. Riccio was dressing and behaving like a nobleman which angered the nobles as he was below them in status 5. Darnley thought Riccio had persuaded Mary not to give him the crown matrimonial, which angered Darnley 6. Some nobles thought Riccio was a spy sent by the Pope so were suspicious of Riccio 7. any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left). |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. King William wanted to remain on good terms with the Spanish and so deliberately sabotaged the Darien colony 2. English officials prevented investment in the Darien scheme 3. There was a feeling that the English had not done enough to help Scotland during the III Years of the 1690s 4. William took little positive interest in Scotland eg Glencoe massacre 5. Anne had declared herself to be "entirely English" 6. The strength of Jacobitism in Scotland caused tension 7. Scots loyalties were suspect after the rebellion of 1689 8. The Scots were angry that the English Parliament passed the succession to Sophia of Hanover without consulting them 9. The English were angry when the Scots Parliament passed the Act of Security 10. Scottish trade badly affected by England's French wars (no Scottish gains in the peace treaties) 11. Worcester incident 12. Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source. 1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 5 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruction | ns for thi | s question |
|----------------|--|----------|---|------------|--|
| 2. (Continued) | | | Examples of aspects of the | e source | and relevant comments: |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible | e comment |
| | | | Author: Andrew Fletcher | | as he was one of the leading nts of the Union. |
| | | | Type of Source: Leaflet | | as this was a common method of o communicate political ideas at this |
| | | | Purpose: To persuade | the Uni | eful as the writer is biased against on/designed to persuade the reader e Union will be bad for Scotland |
| | | | Timing: One year before Union was agreed | | as it is written when the Union was ebated in Scotland. |
| | | | Content | | Possible comment |
| | | | Scotland needs to keep its separate law and church | own | Useful as it was a commonly held view at the time. |
| | | | If the Scots agree to these interests being controlled I single Parliament they will surrender control to the Er | by a | Useful as it reflects the fears of many Scots. |
| | | | The English will have a vas majority. | t | Useful as it is an accurate statement about the parliamentary arithmetic after Union. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: Scotland had always been an independent nation and its identity would be subsumed if there was a new united Parliament. Public opinion in Scotland was against a union. Some Scots would have preferred a Federal Union eg Andrew Fletcher. Episcopalians in Scotland opposed union as it would secure the Hanoverian succession and only a return to the Stuart dynasty could restore episcopacy to the Scottish church. Some Presbyterians feared over the position of the Church of Scotland. The English Parliament was dominated by the Episcopalian church with Bishops' seats in the House of Lords. Any other valid point that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left). |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 3. | (Continued) A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Possible points which may be identified in the source include: By this union we will all have access to all the advantages of trade that the English enjoy at the moment We will be able to improve our wealth We will have our liberty, our property and our religion secured Scotland will be under the protection of one sovereign and one Parliament of Great Britain. Possible points of significant omission may include: If Scotland failed to accept Union voluntarily they might be forced to accept it on unfavourable terms after an English invasion. The Scots knew that Ireland had been conquered by England and wanted to avoid this fate. Presbyterians wanted to solve the problem of the succession and ensure that the exiled Stuarts did not return The Union guaranteed the position of the Presbyterian Church Fear of the reintroduction of the Alien Act if Union was not approved by the Scots Many Scots believed the Scottish economy would benefit from Union Scots attracted by guarantee of free trade with the UK and the colonies Some felt that failure of Darien proved that Scotland could no longer go it alone/some influential Scots saw it as only way to recover from the financial disaster of the Darian Scheme The position of Scots Law had been guaranteed Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: The 1707 Union was deeply unpopular. It had failed to bring economic prosperity to Scotland Many participated as they were anti-Union. James VIII promised to end the Union Dislike of the Campbells (especially in the Highlands) Episcopalians offered support as the return of James seemed to provide the best prospect of an Episcopalian church settlement Loyalty to the House of Stuart. Jacobites did not accept William, Anne or George. They believed James was the rightful King Highland clansmen felt loyalty to the exiled King Some participants were "forced out" The Earl of Mar fought for selfish political reasons as he had lost his government position under George I Dislike of new currency, weights etc Disappointment at failure of payment of the Equivalent motivated some to participate |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Scots accused them of taking Scots' jobs, so resented immigrants Scots accused them of working for less money/lowering wages, so suspicious of immigrants Immigrants were exploited as strike breakers/did not join in with strikes so were unpopular Some had a reputation for drunkenness, so many Scots were wary of immigrants Some had a reputation for violence/fighting, so some Scots were afraid of immigrants Accused them of causing overcrowding/pressure on limited housing stock/ putting up rents, which caused resentment Immigrants were said to spread disease/unhygienic way of life/'brought down' the Scots, so viewed with suspicion Accused some of claiming poor relief intended for Scots, so were resented Some immigrants failed to fit in/kept to themselves/kept their own customs, so were viewed with suspicion 1. Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: Fares were paid by landlords in the Highlands HIES - sent poor crofter families to Australia Glasgow Emigration Society - gave assistance to settle in Canada British Government/Colonial Land and Emigration Commissioners - 'Bounty' settlers to Australia Emigrants' Information Office - gave advice and assistance on aspects of emigration Empire Settlement Act 1922 - gave loans and grants to help with passages and training Barnardos/Quarriers - sent orphan boys and girls to Australia and Canada YMCA - helped young men to emigrate as farm workers/Big Brother scheme supported boys who emigrated/'Dreadnought' boys supported as farm workers Personal loans from family paid for fares etc Cheap rail/steamer fares offered by transport companies eg AnchorDonaldson Line |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | | Free passage for domestic servants to New Zealand, Lewis girls as servants to Canada etc Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Alexander Spark was a leading member of the business community/ prominent in banking 2. By 1840 owned £40,000 of land 3. Became the local agent for a variety of companies 4. Scottish Agents in Australia handled the interests of many Scots who invested money in Australian businesses without ever leaving Scotland. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this Max Mark type of question | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | Possible points of significant omission may include: Scots introduced merino sheep to Australia/developed sheep farming in New Zealand Scots developed shipping companies/developed refrigerated sea transport for meat Scots pioneered the sugar industry in Australia/introduced sugar mills/ refineries Scots were involved in developing the wine industry/brewing in Australia Scots set up universities in Canada/New Zealand/education systems variously Scots developed engineering companies in Canada, Australia/developed shipbuilding in New Zealand Scots cleared and developed virgin land in Canada, Australia, New Zealand Scots masons built prestigious public buildings in new cities Scots developed the jute industry in India Scots vere active in politics/reached high positions eg JA MacDonald, Prime Minister of Canada Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 4. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source. 1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 5 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruction | ns for this | question |
|----------------|--|----------|--|------------------------|--|
| 4. (Continued) | | | Examples of aspects of the | source a | nd relevant comments: |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible | comment |
| | | | Author: Mary Contini | | l recollection of her own family so useful |
| | | | Type of Source: Memoirs about her grandparents' experience | | cribing a first-hand experience so less accurate, so less useful |
| | | | Purpose: Informs why they worked hard | Simple e useful | explanation, not exaggerated, so |
| | | | Timing: Reflection on early 20 th century | Italian i typical a | arents arrived around time of peak mmigration to Scotland so fairly and more useful/looking back with efit of hindsight |
| | | | Content | | Possible comment |
| | | | Many of them made their li selling fish and chips | iving | Useful as true of many Italian immigrants |
| | | | Debt worried them and ma work even harder | de them | Useful as explains concerns of immigrants to succeed |
| | | | Shops were open long hour whole family helped serve customers/shops became t of social life | | Useful as explains why families had so much contact with new communities/useful as accurate |
| | | | | | I |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 4. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Italian cafes became very stylish and fashionable eg Nardini's in Largs 2. Names changed to Scottish versions/nicknames were used to seem less foreign 3. Second generation immigrants spoke Scots English 4. Some intermarriage, especially Scots and Irish 5. Worked with Scots in Trade Union movement/Temperance movement 6. Worked with Scots in politics/Women's suffrage movement 7. Scots and immigrants served together in the Great War 8. Any other valid point that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

Section 1, Context E, The Era of the Great War, 1910-1928

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Use of Tanks: Able to cross trenches/crush barbed wire Use fascines to cross trenches Scattered German infantry Achieved some success/at Cambrai Protected the infantry going forward Were armoured/bullet proof/equipped with machine guns/six pound guns However were easily bogged down/inefficient/unreliable/dangerous or uncomfortable for the crew 2. Use of Machine guns: Vickers was highly efficient/successful/accurate weapon Could fire up to 600 bullets a minute Killed thousands of men Development of portable machine guns |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|----------|--|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Use of Aircraft Used for reconnaissance, ascertain enemy actions Used to photograph enemy lines Used to protect troops in the trenches Fighter planes built to shoot down enemy planes Used to bomb enemy trenches Used to strafe enemy trenches Used to strafe enemy trenches Use of Gas Germans were first to use gas at Ypres in 1915 British use of gas eg operation of gas canisters/shells; delivery; unreliability different types of gas used (chlorine, mustard, phosgene, tear) surprise/fear/panic factor of gas importance of weather/wind direction effects of gas (suffocating/choking, blinding, blisters/burns) use of gas masks; soldiers urinated on hankies gas rarely used after 1917 as the Germans ran out of chemicals (initially) killed thousands (overall) more injuries than deaths Development of range finding techniques for heavy artillery Flamethrowers used to clear out enemy trenches Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source. 1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 5 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission. |

| Question | | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 2. | | (Continued) | | Examples of aspects of the | source and relevant comments: | |
| | | | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | | Author: David Lloyd George | Useful as he is an eyewitness/a government minister soon to be Prime Minister so an expert on women's war effort | |
| | | | | Type of Source: Memoir extract | Useful as based on Lloyd George's feelings/as a memoir could be less useful as could be coloured by subsequent events | |
| | | | | Purpose: To record | Useful as it is an accurate account of the dangers faced by the women/ to record DLG's admiration of the women who worked in the munitions factories | |
| | | | | Timing: Memoir from his time as Minister of Munitions (from 1915) | Useful as it is an account of his wartime experiences | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Que | stion | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|-----|-------|---|----------|---|---|
| 2. | | (Continued) | | Content They had to work under conditions of real danger to life | Possible comment Useful as it tells of the dangers of explosions which were common |
| | | | | One of the risks of shell filling factories was toxic jaundice resulting from the TNT poisoning/ The poor girls were nicknamed 'canaries' | Useful as it explains the dangers of the TNT poisoning |
| | | | | They were quite proud of this/ They had earned it in the path of duty | Useful as it shows the women were proud of the jobs they were doing, despite the danger |
| | | | | Mourning for lost loved ones Women became head of the famil bills etc Women got the vote as a result of Any other valid point that meets | nen eg the Land Army, Nursing etc y/struggled to juggle children, jobs, |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Men who refused to enlist in the army had to face military discipline 2. Some were sentenced to death for refusing orders although the sentence was always reduced if the 'conchie' still refused to give in 3. Special prisons and work camps were opened up in addition to the ordinary prisons to which many objectors were sent 4. Twenty four objectors died while detained at work camps Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. In their communities conscientious objectors were often subject to a torrent of verbal and sometimes physical abuse 2. They were often ignored or refused service in shops 3. Women would give these men white feathers to signify cowardice 4. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Post-war lack of demand/orders for shipbuilding eg1921-23 tonnage of ships built on the Clyde declined/from 510,000 to 170,000 Poor industrial relations (eg demarcation disputes) created difficulties Failure to invest in new technology/lack of investment Lack of demand led to iron and steel production declining/plants closing Lack of orders led to decline in railway production eg by two-thirds at the North British Locomotive company New fuels, so coal production also declined/pits closed Foreign competition challenged Scottish industry International markets lost during the war were not recovered The jute factories in Dundee were in need of fresh investment and repair/lack of demand for sandbags reduced demand for jute At the same time jute prices fell around the world The management of Scottish industry suffered from disproportionate effect of losses of middle-class officers Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruction | ns for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge a further 3 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | 8 | marks. Candidates must use knowle influence of different possib Up to 5 marks are allocated the question. 1 mark should knowledge used to support a | in a number of ways up to a maximum of 8 edge to present a balanced assessment of the ole factors and come to a reasoned conclusion. d for relevant points of knowledge used to address d be given for each relevant, factual key point of a factor. If only one factor is presented, a d be given for relevant points of knowledge. Relevant, factual, key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: William was experienced in battle and had previously defeated the French king William feigned retreat during the battle tricking the Anglo-Saxons William's army was well trained and wore chain mail armour William's cavalry rode specially bred horses. The horses also had a saddle on them keeping the knights in position and allowing them to fight William had brought supplies with him from Normandy and so his army was well fed and rested |
| | | | | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructio | ns for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Harold's inferior army | 6. Harold's army was a mixture of professional soldiers/bodyguards and ordinary men 7. The army was not as well trained as the Normans 8. Death of Harold and his brothers meant there was no clear leadership during the battle |
| | | | Harold's army were tired | 9. Harold's army had only just fought the Battle of Stamford Bridge 10. Harold's army had been forced to march quickly to the south to meet the Normans |
| | | | Any other valid factor | |
| | | | way, leading to a conclusion 1 mark for the answer being knowledge being organised 1 mark given for a valid juction | yen for presenting the answer in a structured on which addresses the question, as follows: g presented in a structured way, with in support of different factors. dgement or overall conclusion. being provided in support of the conclusion. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruct | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|--|
| 2. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source. 1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 6 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission. | |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment |
| | | | Author: Royal clerk | Useful because he was well placed to gather information |
| | | | Type of Source: Chronicle | Useful because it was an official record of events |
| | | | Purpose: To describe | Less useful as clearly biased description of rebellions/author may have exaggerated when describing the actions of the Scots |
| | | | Timing: 1174 | At the time that Henry II was facing rebellion |

| Ques | stion | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for the | is question |
|------|-------|--|----------|---|---|
| 2. | | (Continued) | | | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment |
| | | | | William rebelled and attacked Northumberland | Useful because it gives details of William's rebellion |
| | | | | Women and children were slaughtered/priests murdered inside their own churches | Less useful because it could be exaggerating what happened. |
| | | | | His army besieged the castle/by cutting off their supplies/forced the English to make a treaty with the Scots. | Useful because it provides details on what William did next. |
| | | | | | im/the Great Rebellion 1173 |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Effects of the Black Death eg some peasants were free some were not Peasants prevented from earning higher wages than they had before the Black Death eg 1351 Statute of Labour (ie wages were cut), so unhappy Peasants' discontent with war with France Peasants had been taxed in 1377, 1379 and 1381, so unhappy I381 tax targeted new groups eg over 15s/craftsmen/women taxed whether they worked or not, which was resented Peasants unhappy as wanted an end to forced labour/greater access to forests Lack of faith in King Richard II who was a boy Hatred of the King's advisor/allegations of corruption Inspired by speakers who criticised the Church and monarchy eg John Ball/Waldergrave 10. Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructi | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge a further 3 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | <i>marks</i> . Candidates must use know influence of different poss Up to 5 marks are allocate the question. 1 mark show knowledge used to support | In a number of ways up to a maximum of 8 Aledge to present a balanced assessment of the sible factors and come to a reasoned conclusion. ed for relevant points of knowledge used to address uld be given for each relevant, factual key point of t a factor. If only one factor is presented, a uld be given for relevant points of knowledge. Relevant, factual, key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: 1. Some in Parliament were offended by James' belief in the Divine Right of Kings 2. Millenary Petition 1603 demanded changes to church practices - rejected by James VI and I 3. Archbishops Canons - clergy had to subscribe to 39 articles and Prayer Book, James licensed the Canons, which provoked the clergy 4. 1622 - Direction of Preachers issued, gave Bishops more control, which worried Puritans 5. Demands of Presbyterians for the removal of Bishops 6. Demands from Catholics for more lenient treatment 7. Gunpowder plot |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | | | |
|----------|--|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Financial grievances | Extravagant spending and debts built up by James eg clothing banquets Gave money and power to his favourites at court Bates Case 1606 - judges agreed that impositions (new source of revenue of additional tax on imports and exports) were legal Failure of Great Contract 1610 - reciprocal distrust | | | |
| | | | Political factors | 12. Monopolies caused anger and resentment 13. James dismissed Parliament in 1610 14. Failure of 'Addled Parliament' in 1614 15. James' insistence on creating a legal and administrative Union with Scotland caused suspicions in England | | | |
| | | | way, leading to a conclusio 1 mark for the answer being being organised in support o 1 mark given for a valid jud | ven for presenting the answer in a structured in which addresses the question, as follows: g presented in a structured way, with knowledge of different factors. gement or overall conclusion. eing provided in support of the conclusion. | | | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Believed in the Divine Right of Kings, which was resented Married to Henrietta Maria - a Catholic, unpopular with Protestants Spending habits eg paintings and expensive clothes were resented 1629-1640 - ruled without Parliament (Personal Rule), so was unpopular Forced Ship Money, those who refused went to prison, created resentment Appointed Laud as Archbishop of Canterbury, who was unpopular Laud changed Church of England services (statues, music and candles introduced) - offended some Protestants Introduced New Prayer Book - in Scotland this caused riots Scots attacked English because of religious changes (Bishops' Wars) Charles called Parliament to get money to fight the Scots, which was resented Earl of Strafford ruled Ireland for Charles - Strafford unpopular with the lrish and the Long Parliament Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| QuestionGeneral Marking Instructions for this type of question | | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 3. | | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | 6 | marks. Candidates must make a jud support this by making evalu source. 1 mark should be given for e maximum of 6 marks in tota A maximum of 4 marks or the author, type of source. A maximum of 2 marks r content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks r significant omission. | can be given for evaluative comments relating to |
| | | | | Purpose: To inform | actions Useful as provides reasons for victory at Drogheda/ Cromwell justifying his actions |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | s Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|------------|---|--|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | | Timing: September 1649 | Useful a place | as written soon after the battle took |
| | | | Content | | Possible comment |
| | | | On Monday 9 th the batterin began/Our guns then beat the corner tower, and mad in the east and south walls | : down de gaps | Useful as it provides accurate details of when the battle began/weapons used |
| | | | I sent Sir Arthur Aston a re surrender the town but re satisfactory answer | | Useful as Sir Arthur Aston ignored Cromwell's order to surrender |
| | | | On the following day, after fierce fighting, we entered town/several of the enemy including Sir Arthur Aston, retreated into Mill Mount | d the y, | Useful as it describes the successful storming of the city by Cromwell's forces |
| | | | had weapons Cromwell's men killed a The Church of St. Peters defenders who had barn Parliamentarian losses a Sir Arthur Aston reporte wooden leg Any other valid point the | en to kill e approximat s was set c ricaded the are regarde ed to have hat meets | everyone remaining in the town who cely 2000 men after the surrender on fire, burning alive a group of emselves in |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | | | |
|----------|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | 1. Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. | | <i>marks</i> . Candidates must use knowle influence of different possik Up to 5 marks are allocated the question. 1 mark shoul knowledge used to support a maximum of 3 marks shoul | in a number of ways up to a maximum of 8 edge to present a balanced assessment of the ole factors and come to a reasoned conclusion. d for relevant points of knowledge used to address d be given for each relevant, factual key point of a factor. If only one factor is presented, a d be given for relevant points of knowledge. | | | |
| | Up to the total mark allocation for this question: up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge a further 3 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Possible factors may include: Role of Thomas Clarkson | Relevant, factual, key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: 1. Visited ports such as Liverpool to collect evidence about the cruelties of the slave trade 2. Interviewed sailors who were involved in the slave trade 3. Risked his life to campaign for the abolition of slavery 4. Clarkson published his evidence about the slave trade 5. Clarkson's influence on Wilberforce and others | | | |

| Question General Marking Instructions for this A type of question | | Max Mark Specific Marking Instruc | | ons for this question | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Role of other campaigners | 6. William Wilberforce led the campaign against the slave trade in parliament. 7. Wilberforce presented bills to abolish the slave trade 8. Wilberforce used his friendship with the prime minister and the monarchy to win support for abolition 9. Wilberforce became leader of the Society for the Abolition of the Slave Trade 10. Former slave ship captain, John Newton, preached against the evils of the trade/wrote the hymn, Amazing Grace 11. Freed slaves such as Olaudah Equiano published personal accounts about the terrible nature of the slave trade 12. Granville Sharp campaigned against slavery in British courts 13. Many people across Britain signed petitions against the slave trade. 14. Pamphlets, posters, newspaper adverts were used to campaign against the slave trade 15. Slogans such as 'Am I not a man and a brother' were used/appeared on Wedgwood crockery 16. Boycotts of slave produced goods such as sugar | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Que | estion | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|-----|--------|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 1. | | (Continued) | | | 17. Christian teaching led people to change their attitudes to the slave trade | |
| | | | | Changing attitudes | People began to think of Africans as fellow human beings Plantation agriculture became less important to the British economy People began to regard slave labour as an inefficient way to produce goods | |
| | | | | Any other relevant factor | | |
| | | | | way, leading to a conclusio 1 mark for the answer being being organised in support o 1 mark given for a valid jud | ven for presenting the answer in a structured on which addresses the question, as follows: g presented in a structured way, with knowledge of different factors. gement or overall conclusion. eing provided in support of the conclusion. | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: The slave trade brought wealth to Britain, so was popular with those who became wealthy The slave trade brought employment to Britain in areas such as shipyards, ports, mills, manufacturing, so was supported many involved in these industries Cities profited from the slave trade (eg Bristol, Liverpool and Glasgow), so many in these cities wished to see slavery continue The products of the slave trade were in great demand (eg cotton, tobacco and sugar) and many believed that slavery was needed in order to meet demand for these products Involvement in the slave trade helped Britain to remain a world power, so many continued to support slavery The slave trade was seen as a valuable training ground for the Royal Navy, so it was supported Many MPs were being bribed to ensure that they continued to give their support for the continuation of the trade The slave trade still enjoyed the support of the King Profits from the trade were essential to fund the war with France Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question General Marking Instructions for type of question | | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|---|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 3. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source. 1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 6 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission. | | |
| | | | Aspect of the source | Possible comment | |
| | | | Author: Historian | Useful as modern historians are likely to be experts on the issue and have carried out research | |
| | | | Type of Source: Textbook | Factual account of the treatment of slaves on the plantations | |
| | | | Purpose: To inform | Useful as evidence of harsh treatment of slaves on the plantations | |
| | | | Timing: 1995 | A secondary source written with the benefit of hindsight | |

| Que | stion | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|-----|-------|--|----------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 3. | | (Continued) | | | | |
| | | | | Content | Possible comment | |
| | | | | They followed a policy of control | Useful as this shows how harsh the | |
| | | | | through fear | treatment of slaves was | |
| | | | | Slaves had no rights. They were | Useful as this shows slaves were | |
| | | | | seen as possessions rather than | not treated equally | |
| | | | | human beings | | |
| | | | | There was no punishment for | Useful as this shows how cruel | |
| | | | | owners who worked their slaves to | plantation owners were/not | |
| | | | | death/no one questioned owners | answerable for their actions | |
| | | | | burning or torturing their slaves | towards their slaves | |
| | | | | Possible points of significant omissic | on may include. | |
| | | | | 1. Slaves were forced to work long h | | |
| | | | | 2. Slaves were often whipped for no | | |
| | | | | | up when slaves were bought and sold | |
| | | | | from plantations | | |
| | | | | 4. Any other valid point that meets the criteria described in the genera marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|----------|---|----------|---|---|--|
| 1. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | marks. Candidates must make a jud support this by making evalu- source. 1 mark should be given for e- of 6 marks in total. A maximum of 4 marks ca- the author, type of source. A maximum of 2 marks m content of the source. A maximum of 2 marks m significant omission. | in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 Igement about the usefulness of the source and uative comments on identified aspects of the each relevant comment made, up to a maximum an be given for evaluative comments relating to te, purpose and timing. may be given for comments relating to the may be given for comments relating to points of e source and relevant comments: Possible comment Useful as it was written by an eyewitness to poor housing conditions in Manchester Useful as it was part of an official document by someone with medical expertise/reports tend to be factual May be less useful, as report only focuses on one area of Manchester/but useful because factual record of poor housing conditions in Manchester | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | | |
|----------|--|----------|--|---|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Timing: 1832 | urbanisa revoluti | s it was written at the time of ation/growth of cities/industrial on/time when there was a lot of using in British cities |
| | | | Content | | Possible comment |
| | | | The houses that the mill we live in are poorly ventilated not have toilets | | Useful as fairly typical of urban housing in poorer areas at this time |
| | | | The streets are narrow, unpaved and worn into deep ruts, which become the resting place of mud, refuse and rubbish | | Useful as typical of cities at this time with poor sanitation |
| | | | In Parliament Street there one toilet for 380 inhabitar flow of muck from this toil infests close-by houses and be a source of disease | nts. The et | May be less useful as may have been exaggerated for effect but could also say useful as fairly typical of concerns about dirt and disease at this time |
| | | | vermin spread other dise 5. Any other valid point th | es onditions c eases nat meets | n may include: on health - eg rickets/cholera/TB, the criteria described in the general d of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruct | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of knowledge a further 3 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | <i>marks</i> . Candidates must use know influence of different pos Up to 5 marks are allocat the question. 1 mark sho knowledge used to suppor | ed in a number of ways up to a maximum of 8 wledge to present a balanced assessment of the stible factors and come to a reasoned conclusion. ted for relevant points of knowledge used to address buld be given for each relevant, factual key point of rt a factor. If only one factor is presented, a buld be given for relevant points of knowledge. Relevant, factual, key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: Iron rails underground made it easier to transport coal Steam power to raise cages Steam-powered drainage pumps Davy safety lamp Metal pit props Gunpowder used to loosen rock Technology enabled deeper shafts to be dug, reaching into seams of coal below water-bearing ground Chain coal-cutting machines from the 1880s Better ventilation systems Electric lighting from the 1890s |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruct | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | | Legislation | 13. 1842 - banned women and children under 10 from working underground/fatalities reduced as fewer children employed. 14. 1850 - Mine Inspectors appointed, which improved safety 15. 1860 - boys under 12 forbidden to go underground |
| | | | Animals | 16. 1862 - mines must have at least two exits 17. 1872 - mine managers required a certificate, which improved safety 18. from 1894 - minimum wage for miners 19. Canaries used to detect pockets of |
| | | | Ammats | suffocating gas (Choke Damp) 20. Ponies used (instead of putters) to move wagons of coal |
| | | | Pressure groups | 21. Trade unions campaigned for shorter working hours/better conditions |
| | | | Any other valid factor | |
| | | | way, leading to a conclus 1 mark for the answer being being organised in support 1 mark given for a valid ju | given for presenting the answer in a structured sion which addresses the question, as follows: ing presented in a structured way, with knowledge t of different factors. udgement or overall conclusion. being provided in support of the conclusion. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Canals very slow means of transport - railways much faster Canals could not go everywhere, especially in hilly country A more extensive network of railways developed Canals often different widths and depths, so goods had to be transferred from one size of boat to another Even short journeys involved several canal companies/inconvenient paperwork/expensive Locks slowed up movement considerably Canal transport more expensive than railways/railways carried more goods so more cost effective Factories could have their own railway sidings - more convenient than canals Canal companies failed to invest their profits back into widening and deepening canals/Investment needed to widen canals was diverted to railways Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instruct | ions for this question |
|----------|--|----------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which different factors contributed to an event or development, or to its impact. They are required to provide a balanced account of the influence of different factors and come to a reasoned conclusion based on the evidence presented. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: up to 5 marks can be given for relevant, factual, key points of knowledge used to support factors, with 1 mark given for each point. If only one factor is presented, a maximum of 3 marks should be given for relevant points of | 8 | <i>marks</i> . Candidates must use know influence of different poss Up to 5 marks are allocat the question. 1 mark sho knowledge used to suppor | ed in a number of ways up to a maximum of 8 Aledge to present a balanced assessment of the sible factors and come to a reasoned conclusion. ted for relevant points of knowledge used to address uld be given for each relevant, factual key point of t a factor. If only one factor is presented, a build be given for relevant points of knowledge. Relevant, factual, key points of knowledge to support this factor may include: Absence from work due to sickness could lead to loss of job/earnings Poor could not afford doctors/medicine Many occupations dangerous/few safety precautions eg miners/shipyards so greater chance of accidents/injury |
| | knowledge a further 3 marks can be given for providing the answer in a structured way and coming to a reasoned conclusion. | | Old age | Those on low wages unable to save, so when too old to work, fell into poverty Limited poor relief Caring for elderly relatives was an added burden on poor households |
| | | Death of wage-earner | Death of the main wage-earner would cause families to fall into poverty Only limited compensation available for illness or accidents caused through work | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this Max Mark type of question | Specific Marking Instructio | ons for this question |
|----------|---|---|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | Family size | 9. Large families often lived below poverty-line, especially when children were very young 10. No easily available child-care, so mother often prevented from working |
| | | Irregular/low earnings/unemployment | Work was often cyclical/irregular or seasonal - causing temporary poverty Wages were often below subsistence- level Considerable time often had to be spent looking for work/queuing outside factory gates Women were particularly low paid ½ or even less of male wages Unemployment meant a lack of income |
| | | Other causes | 16. Secondary poverty caused when earnings spent/wasted on other things - eg drinking or gambling 17. High rents used up a lot of family income 18. Discrimination could add to unemployment eg Irish in Scotland |
| | | way, leading to a conclusion 1 mark for the answer being being organised in support 1 mark given for a valid jud | iven for presenting the answer in a structured on which addresses the question, as follows: ag presented in a structured way, with knowledge of different factors. dgement or overall conclusion. being provided in support of the conclusion. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must evaluate the extent to which a source is useful by commenting on evidence such as the author, type of source, purpose, timing, content and omission. For a mark to be given, the candidate must identify an aspect of the source and make a comment which shows how this aspect makes the source more or less useful. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: a maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to author, type of source, purpose and timing a maximum of 2 marks may be given for evaluative comments relating to the content of the source a maximum of 2 marks may be given for points of significant omission. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks.Candidates must make a judgement about the usefulness of the source and support this by making evaluative comments on identified aspects of the source.1 mark should be given for each relevant comment made, up to a maximum of 6 marks in total.• A maximum of 4 marks can be given for evaluative comments relating to the author, type of source, purpose and timing.• A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to the content of the source.• A maximum of 2 marks may be given for comments relating to points of significant omission.Examples of aspects of the source and relevant comments:Aspect of the sourcePossible comment HistoriansAuthor:Useful because they would have researched the subject thoroughlyType of Source:Useful as published sources tend to be factualPurpose:Useful as will be a balanced/comprehensive account of reasons for Liberals passing reforms to help the youngTiming: Published in 2002Useful as written with the benefit of hindsight |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this Max Ma type of question | ark Specific Marking Instructions for thi | s question |
|----------|--|---|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | Content | Possible comment |
| | | The Boer War and the condition of many recruits led politicians to act | Useful as the Boer War did raise concern about the fitness of recruits/national stock/efficiency |
| | | The children would be the soldiers of the future | Useful as this was a typical/important concern at the time of international tensions |
| | | Healthy children would grow up to be healthy soldiers and workers and the British Empire would be stronger as a result | Useful as there was great concern about Britain's status in the world |
| | | free school meals and medical ins 4. School leaving age 13/desire to ineducation for some. 5. High levels of juvenile crime/ofter 6. Desire to alter justice system white same way as adult criminals. 7. Work of Margaret McMillan in push inspections. 8. Any other valid point that meets | evealed that families with young erty line. poor. ental Committee on Physical rms to improve the health of children/ spections. nprove access to free secondary en caused by poverty. ch treated juvenile criminals in the |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • A second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 6 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing six straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Sense of determination/will to 'build a better Britain' after the war. Evacuation highlighted the poor health/physical condition of children and the problem of poverty, so opened eyes/changed attitudes Rich and poor subject to bombing/created need for reform Rationing ensured a fair supply of food for all - rich and poor alike, levelled classes/created expectation of further government intervention Social classes mixed more than ever before during the war - raised awareness of social problems and need for welfare reform Government had been forced to intervene more during the war - eg Ministry of Food, rationing, and free health care for war-wounded/War forced government to change laissez-faire attitudes Greater acceptance of government control during wartime was accepted to tackle post-war problems War highlighted social problems that only the state could tackle - eg poverty, housing, people accepted this Beveridge Report of 1942 highlighted social problems/Beveridge Report popular and created an expectation of government action Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Castles used as a home 2. Castles used for protection/defence against an enemy eg control of river crossings 3. Castles were used as barracks for knights 4. Castles were a symbol of power/wealth 5. Castles were used to hold courts 7. Castles were used to store food 8. Castles held feasts 9. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Inspired by the Pope's speech/preachers such as Peter the Hermit To recapture Jerusalem/free eastern Christians from Muslim rule Religious motives/desire to fulfil Christian duty to God eg Raymond of Toulouse To have sins forgiven/to be able to enter heaven in the afterlife To gain land eg younger sons or those disinherited eg Bohemond of Taranto/Baldwin of Boulogne Peer pressure/to represent a family eg Hugh of Vermandois/Stephen of Blois Military skills/to fight in battle with the Church's blessing eg Tancred wanted to escape the limitations of the Peace of God movement Social mobility eg peasants wanted better life/"land of milk and honey" Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Alexius feared they would attack his city/made them camp outside the city and only allowed them to enter in small groups 2. Offered treasure and supplies to Crusaders who agreed to fight for him 3. Any Crusader who refused was attacked and forced to surrender 4. Alexius did not trust the Crusaders/made plans to remove them from Constantinople |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Crusaders blamed Alexius for the failure of the People's Crusade 2. Made Crusaders take an oath of loyalty/made Crusaders take an oath they would capture land for him 3. Provided the Crusaders with a guide/troops/supplies 4. Provided the Crusaders with boats to blockade Nicaea 5. Negotiated with the Muslims inside Nicaea/took the city behind the Crusaders' back 6. Baldwin broke his oath and captured Edessa 7. Alexius did not arrive at Antioch to help the Crusaders 8. Bohemond broke his oath and claimed Antioch 9. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

Section 3, Context A, The Cross and the Crescent; the Crusades, 1071-1192

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for thi | s question |
|----------|---|----------|---|--|
| 4. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | or in detail. A simple comparison wil overall viewpoint they agree or disag A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks . Candidates may achie comparisons, two developed compari Possible points of comparison may | risons of the two sources, either overall Il indicate what points of detail or ree about and should be given 1 mark . Is of detail or overall viewpoint should be eve full marks by making four simple isons or by a combination of these. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. |
| | marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: The decision to increase taxes was very unpopular The decision to maintain a standing army alarmed colonists The Stamp Act provoked a furious reaction Colonists organised a boycott of British goods |

| Section 3, C | Context B, "Tea and Freedom,": the American Revol | ution, 1774-83 |
|--------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Ouestion | General Marking Instructions for this Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for the |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 1. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Colonists felt that actions of the British government were damaging trade 2. The colonists were unhappy that the British were stopping them from moving West 3. There was anger among the colonists about the Quartering Act which allowed British soldiers to invade private property 4. There was anger among the colonists about a lack of representation in the British parliament 5. Events such as the Boston Massacre increased tension 6. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge • a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Colonists were angered by the passing of the Tea Act in 1773 which allowed the East India Company to undercut colonial merchants and smugglers 2. Bostonians disguised themselves as Mohawk Indians and boarded the three tea ships 3. Tea was emptied into the water of Boston harbour 4. Some of the tea was stolen 5. King George III and Parliament were outraged when they heard of these events 6. Lord North rejected the offer of compensation from some of the colonial merchants 7. Led to the passing of the 'Intolerable Acts' eg Massachusetts Act/ Administration of Justice Act/Quartering Act/Quebec Act 8. Port of Boston closed 9. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: |
| | straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | | The British forces were poorly led so poorer tactics/communication There were tactical errors by Britain eg at Yorktown, so battles were lost British army had to rely on mercenary forces, so less loyalty British soldiers were not properly trained, so less effective Colonial army was effectively led by George Washington, strong leader British generals underestimated the bravery of the Americans Rebel tactics also made life very difficult/rebels often used guerrilla tactics against British which were successful Colonists had greater forces/able to call on minutemen when required, so more effective Fighting a war so far from home made it difficult to supply British forces Attacks by French and Spanish weakened/distracted British forces Assistance from French and Spanish navies gave colonists control of the seas Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for th | is question |
|----------|--|----------|---|---|
| 4. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must make direct comparisons of the two sources, either overall or in detail. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or overal viewpoint they agree or disagree about and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should be given 2 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons or by a combination of these. Possible points of comparison may include: Overall: The sources agree that there were a number of reasons for the British defeat at Saratoga | |
| | Candidates may achieve full marks by | | Source B | Source C |
| | making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | Progress was then slowed by mountains and dense forest | Progress was slowed by the difficult terrain |
| | | | Burgoyne had no reinforcements | Burgoyne's army was left on its own |
| | | | Army was trapped against the Hudson River | British found themselves trapped at the little community of Saratoga |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Many subject to strict rules and regulations/had no freedom 2. Slaves could be bought and sold/seen as property 3. Worked long hours at hard work with only short breaks 4. Subject to harsh/inhuman discipline eg whipping common 5. Runaway slaves were beaten/maimed: use of dogs to hunt runaways 6. Slave needed permission to get married 7. Slave marriages had no legal status 8. Slave owners often named slave children 9. Slave families often broken up/separated 10. Slaves sometimes sexually abused by owners/overseers 12. Children born to a slave, fathered by white owner, were still slaves 13. Pregnant slaves were expected to work until the child was born. 14. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Kansas - Nebrasaka Act allowed States to decide if slave state or free state, which caused tension. Violence in Kansas (Bleeding Kansas) had led to a number of deaths, which increased tension Dred Scott Case caused unhappiness among abolitionists and Northern States Attack on Harpers Ferry by John Brown heightened tension Growth of Republican Party which favoured Northern Interests upset South Election of Lincoln upset South South felt North was infringing on states' rights, caused resentment Growth of militant abolitionism in North increased tension Southern planters resented Northern trade tariffs which affected their trade 10. Expansion of Northern cities and immigration worried the South 11. Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Attracted by the promise of a better life 2. Attracted by the warmer weather in California 3. Went west because they thought the land would be more fertile 4. Ranch owners realised that the plains could be used to feed their huge herds of cattle |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | | Possible points of significant omission may include: Gold in California attracted many. Later gold discoveries in Black Hills also attracted prospectors Cheap land available in the west for farmers Belief in Manifest Destiny eg many Americans saw it as a duty to spread their way of life Mormons wished to find new lands to settle away from other people Railways encouraged many settlers west Government Acts offered land to settlers The Homestead Act of 1862/Timber and Culture Act of 1875 each offered cheap/free land to settlers Railroad companies sold land cheaply to settlers Freed slaves headed west after 1865 to escape persecution Shopkeepers and hotel owners travelled west to exploit the demand of the settlers Sense of adventure Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 4. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by | 4 | Candidates can be credited in a number marks. Candidates must make direct compariso or in detail. A simple comparison will overall viewpoint they agree or disagree A developed comparison of the points of given 2 marks. Candidates may achiev comparisons, two developed compariso Possible points of comparison may in Overall: The sources disagree about the Sand Creek marks | ons of the two sources, either overall indicate what points of detail or ee about and should be given 1 mark . of detail or overall viewpoint should be ve full marks by making four simple ons or by a combination of these. Include: the events which took place during |
| | making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | In the village there were 500 people Two-thirds of whom were women and children. Counted from 60 to 70 dead bodies, a large majority of whom were women and children. | In the Cheyenne camp there were about 1200 people 700 were warriors I estimate there were 500 or 600 people killed/I saw only one woman who had been killed and I saw no dead children |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. All men and women over 20 had the vote/over 35 in Presidential elections 2. All Germans were equal before the law 3. People had the right to vote by secret ballot 4. Everyone had the right of freedom of speech/to express opinions freely and openly 5. Freedom of association/people had the right to hold peaceful meetings 6. Freedom of press 7. Everyone had the right of freedom of religion 8. Letters and correspondence could not be opened and read 9. No one could be arrested without good reason/unless they broke the law 10. People had the right to join trade unions and societies 11. No one could be imprisoned without trial 12. Rights of privacy/people had the right of privacy in their own homes 13. People had the right to form political parties. 14. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left). |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for thi | s question |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 2. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison • a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | in detail. A simple comparison will in viewpoint they agree or disagree abo A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks . Candidates may achie comparisons, two developed compari Possible points of comparison may | risons of the two sources, either overall or ndicate what points of detail or overall ut and should be given 1 mark . s of detail or overall viewpoint should be eve full marks by making four simple sons or by a combination of these. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Hitler appeared to offer Germany strong leadership, which was appealing Offered solutions to Germany's economic problems, so popular Promised to provide jobs for the unemployed , which was popular Promised to overthrow the Treaty of Versailles, which was welcomed Nazi rallies, eg Nuremberg, impressed people Hitler had a clear, simple message which appealed to many people Promised to restore Germany as a world power, which was velcomed Germany's best defence against Communism/support from middle classes Discipline/uniforms of the SA impressed people Widespread support from nationalists for his racial theories/anti-Semitism Tired of the chaos of the Weimar Government and wanted a change/belief that democracy weak |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 4. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. The KDF (Strength through Joy Organisation) controlled most forms of entertainment 2. Each year around seven million people took part in KDF sports matches 3. Mass outings to the theatre and the opera were arranged 4. Workers were also provided with affordable holidays including cruises and walking or skiing holidays Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Other Strength through Joy programmes such as: Evening classes for adults The Peoples Car (Volkswagen) hire purchase scheme which turned out to be a swindle 2. Nuremberg Laws 3. Hitler Youth later made compulsory 4. Role of Gestapo |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 4. | (Continued) | | Propaganda (examples of) Censorship of newspapers/films/books/films Books considered unacceptable were burned Complaining about the Nazis against the law Penalty for anti-Hitler jokes was death National Labour Service Compulsory Military Service any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: The Pillars of Autocracy controlled the Russian people The Civil Service controlled everyday life eg censorship of newspapers Use of secret police the Okhrana to spy on opponents Use of army/Cossacks to crush uprisings or opponents Use of exile to get rid of opponents Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Peasants unhappy due to redemption payments and high taxes (bad harvests made situation worse) Peasant 'land hunger' caused discontent Workers unhappy with poor wages and working conditions Violent strikes due to long hours and low wages (government reaction made situation worse eg by arresting leaders) Radical politics among university students caused further discontent Defeat in the Russo - Japanese War led to unrest Policy of Russification caused discontent amongst nationalities eg Poles Some sections of military become discontented - Potemkin Mutiny. Events of Bloody Sunday in January 1905 led to discontent and strikes Set up of St Petersburg & Moscow Soviets October Manifesto split middle classes from workers and socialists Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. First World War was to have a terrible impact on Russia 2. Heart-breaking losses were suffered by the Tsars armies 3. Thousands of wounded soldiers were left lying untreated on the ground for days 4. Not even quarter enough bandages Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Military defeat at Tannenburg and Masurian lakes 2. Collapse of the economy 3. Inflation affected prices 4. Population suffered shortages of food and fuel 5. Tsar took control of the armies and was then blamed for defeats |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | | 6. Tsarina took charge and was unpopular as she was German-born/thought to be under the influence of Rasputin 7. Political instability - regular changes to both Prime Ministers and Ministers/ministerial 'leapfrog' 8. Allegations of government corruption eg Rasputin weakened Tsar's authority 9. Conscripting millions of peasants led to shortage of grain 10. Inability of the government to organise procurement/movement of supplies to civilians or war production for the military 11. any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for thi | s question |
|----------|---|----------|--|--|
| 4. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | or in detail. A simple comparison will overall viewpoint they agree or disag A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks. Candidates may achi- comparisons, two developed compari Possible points of comparison may i Overall: The sources agree the situ | risons of the two sources, either overall Il indicate what points of detail or ree about and should be given 1 mark . Is of detail or overall viewpoint should be eve full marks by making four simple isons or by a combination of these. |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge • a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: Mussolini aimed to make Italy a great power/wanted control of Mediterranean Mussolini wanted to expand Italy's colonial empire in Africa/increase Italian influence in the Balkans In 1924 Mussolini took control of the Yugoslavian port of Fiume Wished to appear as a statesman in early years eg Locarno 1925 Mussolini funded Croat nationalists in order to create trouble for Yugoslavia Mussolini settled the border dispute with Britain over Libya and Egypt Mussolini settled the border dispute with Britain (wished to be recognised as a great power) Launched attack on Ethiopia In 1935 concluded Stresa Front with France and Britain (wished to be recognised as a great power) Launched attack on Ethiopia Intervened in Spanish Civil War Agreed Anti-Comintern Pact with Japan and Germany in 1937 |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 1. | (Continued) | | 13. Posed as mediator at Munich Conference Sept 1938 14. Invaded Albania in 1939 15. Pact of Steel with Germany concluded May 1939 16. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Many were unhappy when trade unions were outlawed Revaluation of the lira in 1927 led to decline in exports, causing discontent Increase in unemployment 1926-28. By 1933 unemployment had reached 2 million, causing unpopularity High tariffs restricted imports, so people unhappy Sick pay and paid holidays were not introduced until 1938, so people were unhappy The failure to make Italy self-sufficient - embarrassment/unpopularity As part of the Battle For Grain land in central and southern regions was turned over to wheat production despite being unsuitable (traditional agricultural exports declined), unpopular in these areas Increasing government control of industry was resented |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. Mussolini's press office issued official versions of events which all the newspapers were expected to publish without question 2. The radio and the cinema were also used to broadcast Fascist propaganda 3. News bulletins broadcast a daily diet of Mussolini's speeches and praised him as the saviour of Italy 4. The media played a crucial role in the cult of "Il Duce" Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Mussolini started a new calendar with Year 1 beginning in 1922 2. The regime made propagandist feature films 3. The Duce was shown as a great athlete and musician (cult of personality) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | (Continued) | | Brainwashing/indoctrination of young at school/textbooks or youth groups The newspapers suggested that Mussolini was infallible An image of youthfulness was portrayed by not referring to Mussolini's age or the fact he wore glasses/usually seen in uniform It was said that Mussolini worked 16 hour days - his light was left on after he had gone to bed to maintain this fiction Use of RC church to support Fascists policies eg against communism at home or in Spain Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 4. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison • a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | or in detail. A simple comparison will overall viewpoint they agree or disage A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks. Candidates may achie comparisons, two developed comparis Possible points of comparison may Overall: The sources agree that th | isons of the two sources, either overall l indicate what points of detail or ree about and should be given 1 mark . s of detail or overall viewpoint should be eve full marks by making four simple sons or by a combination of these. |

| and make direct comparisons between marks. sources. Candidates are expected to | number of ways up to a maximum of 4 omparisons of the two sources, either overall |
|--|---|
| details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to details in the sources and/or compare overall viewpoint they agree or A developed comparison of the given 2 marks. Candidates ma comparisons, two developed comparisons | disagree about and should be given 1 mark. points of detail or overall viewpoint should be y achieve full marks by making four simple mparisons or by a combination of these. In may include: bout the poor living and working conditions of immigrants Source B untry as of Source B untry Immigrants tended to live in their own communities: in New York there was a Polish district, a Jewish district and an Italian district ent Comments buildings in these areas were often five or six storeys high with rooms which lacked light or sanitation vork aid A common problem for poorly |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Legal action leading to the decision of the Supreme Court in 1954 to declare segregation in schools unconstitutional Bus boycott in Montgomery Little Rock, Arkansas - attempt by black students to enter Central High School Sit-downs/Sit-ins eg deliberately holding up traffic/the occupation of lunch counters and other segregated places Freedom rides - travel on buses through southern states using segregated facilities at bus stations Project C - sit-ins and marches in Birmingham, Alabama led by Martin Luther King March on Washington Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: President Truman issued orders to desegregate the US military He also set up a President's Committee on civil rights in 1946 to report to him on how progress towards black civil rights could be made In 1960 Congress passed a Civil Rights Act which established penalties for obstructing black voting. Possible points of significant omission may include: Supreme Court decision declared that schools could no longer be segregated |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | (Continued) | | In 1957 President Eisenhower sent in federal troops to Little Rock in Arkansas to ensure that nine black children could safely enter a recently desegregated high school Federal Marshalls were sent to escort James Meredith through the gates of Mississippi University Civil Rights Act passed in 1964 Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Black Panthers gained support for their demand for the release of black prisoners Black Panthers gained support due to their efforts to give practical help to poor blacks eg breakfast clubs in schools Black Panthers encouraged blacks to be proud of the colour of their skin and their African American culture. This appealed to many blacks who were frustrated by the non-violent methods of the Civil Rights Movement Black Panthers attracted further support after gaining the much publicised support of athletes at the Olympic Games in 1968 Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: The German army was limited to 100,000 men The German army was forbidden from having tanks The German navy was forbidden from having submarines The German navy was forbidden from having submarines A Germany was forbidden from having submarines The German navy was limited to six battleships Germany was forbidden from having an air force Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/ explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. The USA refused to join as they were not interested in getting involved in the problems of other countries 2. Initially Russia was not invited to join, so another great country of the world was absent 3. Taking decisions was difficult as the Assembly had to be unanimous and member states often could not agree 4. A further problem was that the League did not have its own army to back up its decisions Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. Germany was not allowed to join until 1926, so another major power was absent |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 2. | (Continued) | | Member countries were reluctant to agree to economic sanctions for fear of damaging their own economies Member countries were reluctant to take action against a powerful member state The two most powerful member countries Britain and France were reluctant to commit troops to fight for the League Countries lost faith in the League with each failure Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: There was a belief that although Germany had broken the Treaty, they had been too severely punished at Versailles It was argued that Germany had done little more than liberate her own territory Many believed that the Franco-Soviet Pact had unduly provoked Hitler Many within Britain saw a stronger Germany as a useful barrier against the spread of communism It was hoped that a conciliatory approach might persuade Germany to reenter the League and resume disarmament talks It was little sign that public opinion would have supported military action against Hitler Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

Section 3, Context H, Appeasement and the Road to War, 1918-1939

| Candidates must interpret evidence | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. | | in detail. A simple comparison will in viewpoint they agree or disagree about A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks . Candidates may achie comparisons, two developed comparison Possible points of comparison may Overall: The sources agree that Ar | isons of the two sources, either overall or adicate what points of detail or overall at and should be given 1 mark . Sof detail or overall viewpoint should be eve full marks by making four simple sons or by a combination of these. |
| Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | Source B | Source C |
| | | The population of Austria comprised ethnic Germans, a majority of whom are enthusiastic about the Anschluss/The Austrians will not only feel at home as part of Germany/Their German brothers | That there has been no fighting is proof of the desire of the Austrian people to belong to Germany |
| | | It was a mistake of the peacemakers at Versailles to forbid the union of Austria and Germany | The union of these two countries should never have been forbidden at Versailles |
| | | They will benefit financially too from an increase in trade | Austrians will also benefit from greater markets for their raw materials and manufactured goods |
| | details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a | details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a | details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. Source B The population of Austria comprised ethnic Germans, a majority of whom are enthusiastic about the Anschluss/The Austrians will not only feel at home as part of Germany/Their German brothers It was a mistake of the peacemakers at Versailles to forbid the union of Austria and Germany They will benefit financially too |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|----------|---|----------|--|--|
| 1. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point-by- point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison • a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | or in detail. A simple comparison will viewpoint they agree or disagree about A developed comparison of the points given 2 marks. Candidates may achie comparisons, two developed comparison Possible points of comparison may Overall: The sources agree that the | isons of the two sources, either overall l indicate what points of detail or overall ut and should be given 1 mark. s of detail or overall viewpoint should be ve full marks by making four simple sons or by a combination of these. include: tactic of Blitzkrieg was effective at g of the war. Source B Hitler was very excited by a plan of attack that was based purely on speed and movement Stuka dive bombers were sent in to 'soften' up the enemy |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 2. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge • a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Citizens in the west placed under German military rule 2. Eastern European civilians more brutally governed under German civil administrations 3. Vichy regime set up in southern France where citizens lived under German controlled French Government 4. Economies controlled: industry and agriculture supervised and the people often left to go hungry 5. Workers issued with work cards and free deployment of labour was prohibited 6. In western Europe workers pressured to 'volunteer' for work in Germany/ pressure on young men to join 'crusade' against communism in East 7. Almost all food was rationed 8. Curfews in place 9. All citizens had to carry an identity card 10. Gestapo operated under Night and Fog Decree of 1941, giving them powers to seize anyone endangering German security 11. Media censorship |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 2. | (Continued) | | 12. SS brutality and the Police State ruled over occupied citizens 13. Jews and other 'undesirables' deported to concentration camps mostly in the east/willing collaboration in deportation Jews eg Vichy 14. Jewish Poles dispossessed, property and belongings confiscated 15. Jews in Poland forced to live in ghettos then deported to camps in the east/examples of life in the ghettos 16. Special forces in Eastern Europe killed Jews and other undesirables in mass killings eg gypsies 17. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 3. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: It helped Jews or Allied airmen who had crash landed in France, to escape School children were recruited to help smuggle people across the borders of northern and southern France The Resistance movement produced anti-German propaganda which was crucial in undermining Nazi rule Nazi control was further challenged by the Resistance who worked to punish any French collaboration Possible points of significant omission may include: Key intelligence link for Allied spy networks Sabotaged German military operations eg blowing up trains, convoys, ships etc |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 3. | (Continued) | | Carried out acts of sabotage prior to and during the D-Day landings to help Allied establish a foothold in Normandy/pinned down vital German forces Radio operators (pianists) to send messages of German activities and other communications back to Britain Telephone workers sabotaged telephone lines and intercepted German military messages Postal workers intercepted important military communications Rail workers diverted freight shipments/caused derailments/destroyed tracks/blew up bridges Created labs to manufacture explosives/stole explosives and other resources from German army Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: Russian army encircled the city by mid-April due to their success in previous battles Stalin had prioritised capturing Berlin before the Allies Russians advanced easily against poor German defences at the Oder River/German units chose to concentrate fighting to the west of the city so they could surrender to Allied forces rather than Russian Defence of the city of Berlin relied upon disorganised/poorly armed units from the German army and Hitler Youth members/elderly men or boys City of Berlin defences in panic and disarray, so easier Allied aerial bombing of the city began on April 16th and pushed back the German defences further Hitler sacked commanders, created confusion Loss of morale after Hitler committed suicide Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 1. | Candidates must make a number of points that make the issue plain or clear, for example by showing connections between factors or causal relationships between events or ideas. These should be key reasons and may include theoretical ideas. There is no need for any evaluation or prioritising of these reasons. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward reasons, a smaller number of developed reasons, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: • 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point • a second mark should be given for any reason that is developed. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates must show a causal relationship between events. Up to a maximum of 5 marks in total, 1 mark should be given for each accurate, relevant reason, and a second mark should be given for reasons that are developed. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward reasons, three developed reasons, or a combination of these. Possible reasons may include: The only thing that kept them together was over - the Second World War Disagreements at Potsdam eg over Poland The Americans had developed the atomic bomb and this angered/worried the Soviet Union Soviet troops were occupying most of Eastern Europe and this caused tension Truman, the new American President was more anti-communist than Roosevelt An arms race developed causing further tension Different ideas - capitalism versus communism The Soviets saw Marshall Aid as an American attempt to dominate Europe/Soviet satellites prevented from accepting Marshall Aid Berlin Blockade, 1948-1949, deepened the divisions between East and West The Soviets and the Americans involved on different sides in proxy wars eg Korea Any other valid reason that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|--|----------|---|
| 2. | Candidates must make a judgement about the extent to which the source provides a full description/explanation of a given event or development. Candidates should be given up to 3 marks for their identification of points from the source that supports their judgement. Each point from the source needs to be interpreted rather than simply copied from the source. Candidates should be given up to 4 marks for their identification of points of significant omission, based on their own knowledge, that support their judgement. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers in which no judgement has been made. | 5 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 6 marks. Candidates must make an overall judgement about how fully the source explains the events. 1 mark may be given for each valid point interpreted from the source or each valid point of significant omission provided. The candidate can achieve up to 3 marks for their interpretation of the parts of the source they consider are relevant in terms of the proposed question where there is also at least one point of significant omission identified to imply a judgement has been made about the limitations of the source. For full marks to be given each point needs to be discretely mentioned in terms of the question. A maximum of 2 marks may be given for answers which refer only to the source. Possible points which may be identified in the source include: 1. President Truman explained that America would resist the spread of Communism 2. The Americans had responded to French requests for assistance in Vietnam 3. It was clear that South Vietnam could not resist Communism without the support of American troops 4. Many in America believed war was necessary to stop the spread of Soviet influence Possible points of significant omission may include: 1. America feared that a civil war was developing in South Vietnam. 2. America was increasingly concerned about the influence of China in south-east Asia |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question | |
|----------|--|----------|---|--|
| 2. | (Continued) | | There was a widespread belief in the Domino Theory eg Thailand, Laos, Burma, Cambodia even New Zealand and Australia could fall to communism There was a general concern that America was falling behind in the Cold War at this time Gulf of Tonkin incident led America to become involved in a full scale war in Vietnam. Any other valid point of explanation that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) | |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this | s question |
|----------|--|----------|---|---|
| 3. | Candidates must interpret evidence and make direct comparisons between sources. Candidates are expected to compare content directly on a point- by-point basis. They may compare the details in the sources and/or compare the viewpoints overall. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each simple point of comparison a second mark should be given to each developed point of comparison. | 6 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 4 marks. Candidates must make direct comparisons of the two sources, either overal or in detail. A simple comparison will indicate what points of detail or overa viewpoint they agree or disagree about and should be given 1 mark. A developed comparison of the points of detail or overall viewpoint should I given 2 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons or by a combination of these. Possible points of comparison may include: Overall: The sources agree that the Soviets were at fault | |
| | Candidates may achieve full marks by | | Source A | Source B |
| | making four simple comparisons, two developed comparisons, or by a combination of these. | | The source of world trouble and tension is Moscow | We now say with confidence that this crisis was caused by Moscow. |
| | | | They have rejected an all-German peace treaty | The Soviets rejected an American proposal for a peace treaty |
| | | | It is they who have rejected the rule of international law. | The Soviet domination of East Germany was a clear breach of international law |

| Question | General Marking Instructions for this type of question | Max Mark | Specific Marking Instructions for this question |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 4. | Candidates must make a number of relevant, factual points. These should be key points. The points do not need to be in any particular order. Candidates may provide a number of straightforward points or a smaller number of developed points, or a combination of these. Up to the total mark allocation for this question: 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant point of knowledge a second mark should be given for any point that is developed. | 4 | Candidates can be credited in a number of ways up to a maximum of 5 marks. They may take different perspectives on the events and may describe a variety of different aspects of the events. 1 mark should be given for each accurate relevant key point of knowledge. A second mark should be given for each point that is developed, up to a maximum of 5 marks. Candidates may achieve full marks by providing five straightforward points, by making three developed points, or a combination of these. Possible points of knowledge may include: 1. Cuba stayed Communist/Castro's position strengthened as Kennedy promised not to invade 2. American missiles withdrawn from Turkey/Italy 3. Kennedy greatly improved his reputation in America and the West 4. Khrushchev was able to claim he prevented America from taking over Cuba, a useful ally so close to America 5. Krushchev seemed weak as American missiles removed in secret/led directly to Krushchev's fall 2 years later 6. Led to a thaw in the Cold War 7. 'Hot line' set up between the White House and the Kremlin 8. Nuclear Test Ban Treaty signed in 1963 9. Any other relevant factual key point of knowledge that meets the criteria described in the general marking instructions for this kind of question (see column to left) |

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]